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When it comes to true-view landscape paintings of the late Joseon Dynasty, it is clear that two different types were made. One type closely resembles the actual scenery portrayed, while the other bears little similarity. In this article, the author evaluates the difference between these two types of paintings by addressing the viewpoint and the angle of view adopted by the artist. As for viewpoint, three types exist, namely bird’s-eye view, multi-point view and a moving point of view. Through this analysis it is possible to suggest whether a sketch of the scenery was done at the particular site and why artists changed their portrayals of scenes over time. Paintings of the former type are those that closely resemble the actual scenery. Paintings of the latter type bear little similarity.

Paintings, which do not resemble the actual scenery, were painted from memory after visiting the site and therefore the scenes were significantly changed, also in the case of paintings with place names. These kinds of paintings were predominantly made by literati painters such as Jeong Seon and his followers as well as Yi Insang, Heo Pil, and Yun Jehong. Such paintings predate those which bear similarity to the actual scenery on the basis of a sketch done at the site. Kim Hongdo and his followers, as well as literati painters such as Shim Sajeong and Kang Sehwang come under this category.

Jeong Seon was a painter who painted in reliance of his memory after personally traveling to a place of scenic beauty. For this reason the scenes depicted in his true-view landscape paintings cannot be captured with a 28-50mm lens of camera. Only a wide-angle lens of less than 28mm or a panoramic camera can absorb Jeong Seon’s scenes. Jeong Seon’s field of vision was generally a horizontal viewpoint of 90-150 degrees or 180 degrees and so was very wide. This was because he drew the landscapes from memory and in doing so he depicted ideal landscapes as expressed in a local Korean setting. The basis behind this is found in Neo-Confucianism and is matched by records stating that Jeong Seon painted according to the principles of the Book of Changes. It also reflects Jeong Seon’s close association with literati scholars of the Seoin-Noron who had strong political power at the time.

With Kim Hongdo, who was not a literati painter but belonged to the Dohwaseo (得華學, Court Academy of Painting), there was new change in true-view landscape painting. He applied a different viewpoint from that of Jeong Seon whose style had dominated until the mid-18th century. Sites, which are included in Kim Hongdo’s paintings, can be captured with the viewfinder of a camera mounted with a 50mm standard lens or a 35mm wide-angle lens. This means landscape scenes with a horizontal angle of 47-62 degrees, which is most close to human viewpoint, were painted on the canvas. Such paintings can be argued to depict realistic scenes. In capturing actual scenes, Kim adopted a viewpoint, which was similar to that of European landscape paintings and European photographs of landscapes, which developed after the 17th century. For this reason, Kim represented scenes in a real and vivid manner. Furthermore, in contrast to Jeong Seon, Kim painted not only landscapes of great beauty but also everyday scenes.

The foundations for this change can also be argued to lie in the different ideology of the time. While Jeong Seon’s style is deeply imbedded within Neo-Confucian ideals of his time, Kim Hongdo represents the Post-Confucian (後儒理學 or 後儒性理學) cultural milieu of the late 18th century. In contrast, in the 19th century true-view landscape paintings declined in popularity, partially due to the conservatism of the time as encouraged by the ruling house.
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I

INTRODUCTION

True-view landscape paintings of the late Joseon Dynasty represent an important artistic trend in the history of Korean culture. While there is nothing extraordinary about Joseon artists painting their native land, the development of true-view landscape painting, including the work of Jeong Seon (鄭巖, 1676–1759; sobriquet, Gyeomjae), is considered a landmark in the literary and artistic history of the late Joseon Dynasty. One reason is that it represents a quantum leap from the early-Joseon mode of landscape painting, which was largely inspired by Chinese styles from the Song and Ming dynasties. The emergence of true-view landscape painting (眞景山水畫, jingyeong sansuhwa), therefore, embodies the will of contemporary Korean artists to turn their attention back to their native land, refusing to follow Chinese ideals of landscape beauty. Ultimately, it was a notable shift from illusionary idealism to truthful realism.

Above all, the real significance of true-view landscape painting is found in the painters’ abandonment of a long-established mannerism to create an art form that could best express the reality of their beloved homeland. Hence, in terms of cultural history, at least, greater value is placed on paintings with place names in their titles. True-view landscape painting enjoyed a surge in popularity in the 18th century, during which a vast number of great painters were produced under the influence of Jeong Seon. The ideology behind this new mode of painting and its formal characteristics were consistent with Silhak (實學), the school of “practical learning,” which advocated values like reality, originality, unrestrained expression of sensibilities, and pursuit of a “Joseon style,” as well as other new trends of the time in literature, music and values like reality, originality, unrestrained expression of sensibilities, and pursuit of a “Joseon style,” as well as other new trends of the time in literature, music and sensibilities, and pursuit of a “Joseon style,” as well as other new trends of the time in literature, music and sensibilities, and pursuit of a “Joseon style,” as well as other new trends of the time in literature, music and sensibilities, and pursuit of a “Joseon style,” as well as other new trends of the time in literature, music and sensibilities, and pursuit of a “Joseon style,” as well as other new trends of the time in literature, music and sensibilities, and pursuit of a “Joseon style,” as well as other new trends of the time in literature, music and sensibilities, and pursuit of a “Joseon style,” as well as other new trends of the time in literature, music

One of the most well-known examples is the landscape painting of Jeong Seon, which is a faithful depiction of the actual scenery. Specifically, his paintings of the Diamond Mountain (金剛山, Mt. Geumgang or Geumgangsan, located in today’s North Korea), while bearing the most salient features of his artistic style, show the greatest degree of distortion and modification. Yet his contemporaries lavished praise on his works with such false descriptions as: “exact likeness (形似, hyeongsa) that reveals “both physical (形) and spiritual qualities (神似)” of the subject; “corporeal expression of emotional resonance (傳神)” of the majestic scenery; or “great accuracy (肖真)” that reveals the psychological meaning embedded in the subject.” In some extreme cases, expressions like “complete mirror image (鏡鏡照影)” or “lifelike illusion (真幻)” are exaggerations that are pure fantasy. While some of these descriptions are almost fictional, they may have some importance to literature. But as a reference for art history, the wording often serves as an obstacle for objective analysis of individual paintings as it can lead to false interpretations.

Korean ink and wash landscape painting has limitations in expressing the color and texture of the landscape due to some innate properties of the materials. For example, Samil Lake (三日潭, a lake near Haegeum River in North Korea) was extolled for its deep blue color and glossy surface, often likened to a woman of sumptuous beauty. When Shim Sajeong (沈仕, 1707–1769; sobriquet, Hyenmyeong) painted the place, he chose pale jade-green paper, probably taking the colors of the sky and water into account. In the painting he created, however, using hemp-fiber strokes (蘆筆) with the dry-brush technique (點筆) and light colors, there is nothing sumptuous about the lake. The white clouds, blue sky, green woods and the water reflecting them were not expressed in the vivid colors we would see in the actual scenery. The same applies to Jeong Seon’s paintings of Samil Lake created around the same time.4

The weakness of ink landscapes by the two Joseon masters is apparent when compared with a painting of the same subject using Western materials, “Samil Lake” (三日潭, Samilpa, acrylic on canvas, 112 x 145 cm, private collection) from 1999 by Kang Yobae. Employing a bird’s-eye view (俯瞰的), Kang depicted the lake with a pale sun and early moon reflected on the water. From this color painting it is possible to truly appreciate the actual scenic beauty of the lake.

This shortcoming of ink landscape painting is confirmed again when it is compared with Dutch landscape paintings of the 17th century and later works from Europe. While I traveled around the Netherlands in the summer of 2007, I saw “View of Delft” (oil on canvas, 96.5 x 115.7 cm, Mauritshuis Museum, The Hague) painted in 1660–1661 by Johannes Vermeer (1632–1675). Impressed, I went right away to see the actual site. It was as if the cityscape along the canal under the clear sky had been preserved just as it was depicted in the 17th-century landscape painting. It occurred to me that the landscape I had seen in the painting must be an illusion (幻影) but a truly lifelike illusion (真幻) of the scenery that I was looking at with my own eyes.5 This realistic style influenced the landscape painting of Paul Cézanne (1839–1906), credited as the father of modern Western painting, with that of Jeong Seon.9 In the summer of 2001, I compared the work of Paul Cézanne (1839–1906), credited as the father of modern Western painting, with that of Jeong Seon.9 In the summer of 2001, I
visited southern France, the home of the French artist. While analyzing the multiple viewpoints and bird's-eye view employed in his landscapes, I realized that it was the viewpoint (視方) and angle of view (角度) that make Korean true-view landscape painting so original. The idea for this paper was formed during that trip.

I visited many of the places depicted in the true-view landscape paintings of the late Joseon Dynasty—including the Yeongnam region in 1980 and the Diamond Mountain in 1998—to compare the paintings with the actual scenes they represent. After the field surveys, I classified the paintings into two categories. One category is paintings that bear no resemblance to the place identified in the title. In this case, it is presumed the artist painted from memory a short time or a long time after visiting the place. The second category is paintings that bear a close resemblance to the place identified in the title. In this case, the artist is presumed to have made a full sketch, or at least a rough draft, on the spot and completed the painting later elsewhere. Interestingly, this classification also applies to 20th-century landscapes of the Diamond Mountain, to which painters from South Korea have limited access.

These two categories also represent two different methods typically adopted when painting a given subject. One is “representation” of the external appearance. In theories of Asian painting, it is close to the concept of hyeongsa (形相, realism), making a copy of what is physically visible. The other is “expression” of the artist’s emotional response to the subject, which is close to the concept of saol (思想, impressions), emphasis of the psychological meaning associated with the subject. Based on this distinction, painting from memory is a method inclined to emotional expression, while painting from real life promotes accurate representation.

As is widely known, Jeong Seon was by far the most talented and prolific painter of true-view landscape painting. He brought the new style to perfection, and the majority of existing paintings of the kind are attributed to him. In this paper as well, Jeong Seon accounts for the greatest portion of the chapters about individual artists grouped together by style.

This imbalance implies the unbalanced status that Jeong Seon occupied in true-view landscape painting. He excelled in style and artistry, as well as in choice of place. There were few sites of scenic beauty in Jaseon that he did not explore. From the Diamond Mountain to Gwandong palgyeong (関東八景, the eight most scenic spots in eastern Korea), he painted all the most beautiful and famous sites, including the temples, Confucian academies, and provincial government offices he came across during his painting trips. In Seoul as well, either on commission or for pure joy, he painted a variety of famous places as well as houses, villas, and offices along the Han River (漢江), including Cheonggye Pavilion (龍船) and Jangdong palgyeong (長洞八景, the eight most scenic spots in Jangdong, Seoul).

Afterwards, Jeong’s followers and literati painters like Shim Sajeong and Yi Insang (李錫祥 1710-1760; sobriquet, Neunghogwan) broadened the potential of true-view landscape painting by introducing diverse stylistic elements. Succeeding this tradition, Kim Hongdo (金弘道 1745-79; sobriquet, Danwon) made groundbreaking achievements for further development. Even so, Jeong’s work still serves as the standard for analyzing works of these subsequent painters.

To Jeong, great accuracy of depiction was not of pivotal importance. True-view landscape painters can be divided into two groups: those who painted like Jeong Seon and those who painted with a close resemblance to reality. Kim Hongdo, with his superb skill for faithful depiction, falls under the second category. Considered chronologically, Jeong Seon’s style, which made bold modifications to the actual scenery, dominated the early 18th century (reign of King Yeongjo, 1724-1776), and Kim’s style, faithful to reality, prevailed in the late 18th century (reign of King Jeongjo, 1776-1800).

Years ago, I sorted out this idea with the following statement: “King Yeongjo’s reign coincides with the age of Jeong Seon, who produced the solemn, early classical style, and King Jeongjo’s reign coincides with the age of Kim Hongdo, who created the varied and cheerful, late classical style based on complete internalization of the earlier style. In its last phase, the style of the golden age declined into mannerism. Just as late Joseon painting was hallmarked by the emergence of true-view landscape painting, the course of the former followed the development, sophistication and decline of the latter.” At the time, I had a debate with Professor Shim Gwang-hyeon (沈光賢) on the social phenomena and cultural and artistic changes related with this topic.

Later, I also attempted a different classification into Daoist and realistic true-view landscape painters. I placed Jeong Seon and his followers, Yi Insang, and Yun Jehong (尹濟弘, 1764-79; sobriquet, Haksan) in the first category, and Shim Sajeong and Kim Hongdo in the second.

While looking through numerous true-view landscape paintings in preparation for this paper, I reconsidered this topic and came to the assumption that Jeong Seon’s unrealistic landscapes were mostly painted from memory, while Kim Hongdo’s realistic landscapes were recreated from actual scenery. Based on this assumption, I examined the difference in composition between the memory-dependent Daoist landscapes and the site-dependent realistic landscapes. I found out that the paintings fell under one of two types: those where the whole scene could be framed within the viewfinder of a 35mm camera and those where it could not.

Jeong Seon’s landscape paintings usually depict a scene that cannot be captured with a lens of 28-50mm focal length. Only a wide-angle lens shorter than 28mm or a panoramic lens can contain the scene in one frame. On the contrary, most scenes in Kim Hongdo’s works can be framed in the viewfinder of a camera with a 35-50mm lens, which is similar to the angle of view employed in European paintings of the 17th-19th centuries. This experiment shows how a viewpoint—such as a bird’s-eye view, multiple viewpoints, a moving viewpoint—and the angle of view can serve as criteria to distinguish between painting from actual scenery and painting from memory.

Viewing the landscape is as creative an act as painting it. Landscapes have such great significance to humans as places for living that the choice of site, along with the viewpoint and the scope of the captured scene is a meaningful standard for appraising a landscape painting. Scholars of civil engineering and landscape studies claim that the human vertical and horizontal fields of view are 10° and 20°, respectively. Roughly speaking, it is an area covered by the hands with arms stretched out in front. Our field of view is much narrower than we presume. Given this fact, Jeong Seon had a field of view five times wider than normal.
It is commonly said that when we look ahead fixedly at a certain object, the widest range of vision is 60°. However, the Korea Ophthalmological Society claims that an adult can see as wide as 150° and children 130°. Back to the first claim, if humans can see 60° in the horizontal field with ease, this is equivalent to the angle of view covered by a 35mm lens. A 50mm standard lens generally covers 46°; 28mm and 17mm wide-angle lenses 75° and 104°, respectively; and 85mm and 135mm telephoto lenses 29° and 18°, respectively.\(^{22}\)

Wide-angle lenses 75° and 104°, respectively; and 85mm standard lens generally covers 46°; 28mm and 17mm in the horizontal field with ease, this is equivalent to

With this information, I set off to analyze true-view landscape paintings one by one on the actual sites. On previous field trips I used to carry a camera with a 50mm standard lens and 35mm and 28mm wide-angle lenses. For a trip to the Diamond Mountain in August 1998, however, I carried a 180° panoramic lens, too.

With one or two exceptions such as "After Rain at Mt. Inwang" (仁王廳畫匾, Inwangguesaekdo, ink on paper, 79.2 x 138.2 cm, Leeum-Samsung Museum of Art), most of his landscape paintings bear little resemblance to the actual scenery, probably because he painted mostly from memory.

Jeong’s landscape paintings have deep emotional resonance because they express not only the actual topography of the site but also the artist’s impression or feelings about the landscape. A good example is “Bagyeon Falls” (朴涇潭課, Bagyeonpokdo, ink on paper, 119.5 x 52 cm, private collection) painted in the 1750s. The water crashing down from the falls has been expressed magnificently on the paper. By painting the falls twice as long as they are in reality and heightening the contrast of black ink and white paper, he managed to capture even the roaring sound of the water on a two-dimensional plane.\(^{21}\)

The act of painting from memory involves a high degree of perception by the brain. Indeed, to recall shapes, looks, or sounds (of the falls, for example) is a highly intellectual process. Jeong was also a man of letters, well versed in the Chinese classics. A number of literary records refer to him as “a scholar devoted to The Book of Changes” (周易) and The Doctrine of the Mean (中庸),\(^{23}\) and state that his painting style was largely influenced by theories expounded in these works.\(^{24}\) The genealogical record of his family states that he wrote the book The Illustrated Account of the Book of Changes (圖解周易).\(^{25}\)

The disparity in physical features between his landscape paintings and the actual scene was in fact a dominant style in the early Joseon period. To take his earlier work as an example, “Seongnyu Cave” (聖雲洞, Seongnyugol, ink and light colors on paper, 27.3 x 28.5 cm, Kansong Art Museum), painted in the 1730s-40s, features at its center a rocky peak projecting skyward with small hills on the right and Wangpi River (王壁川) on the left. In reality, however, the rocky peak and the adjacent hills are the same height. The exaggeration of the rocky peak at the center is in accordance with the composition of traditional landscapes. The surface of the rock was painted darker with repeated ink retouches, a technique originated from the axe-cut texture stroke (斧劈皴法) of the Chinese Northern School of painting. On the contrary, the hemp-fiber strokes and various dot texture strokes used to depict the earthy hills are techniques of the Chinese Southern School. Jeong Seon thus combined the two distinct styles to create his own original one.\(^{26}\) He also used this combination of techniques when painting other subjects, such as Danbaek Ridge (丹碧嶺), various peaks of the Inner Diamond Mountain, the periphery of Mt. Inwang, and the Han River.

With his head turning 360°, just like an owl. While the peaks in the upper middle section are seen from the front view, the rugged peaks on the sides are seen from the side. Together, the cluster of peaks creates a circular composition, which is quite a logical arrangement. In addition, the peaks rising one above another have been described with a high-distance perspective (遠眺法). This unique viewpoint and composition emphasize the solemn beauty of the mountain and the originality of the artist (Figure 1).

Although this painting does not name the peaks, focusing only on its aesthetic aspect, some of Jeong’s other paintings of the same mountain name various spots, for example, “Complete View of the Inner Mt. Geumgang” (金剛內山圖, Geumgangsan naechohonga, 1711), part of The Album of Paintings of Geumgangsan in Autumn (金剛山秋圖), Pungaktcheop, ink and light color on silk, 36 x 37.4 cm, National Museum of Korea) and “Complete Survey of the Inner Mt. Geumgang in Autumn” (金剛內山瞭望, Pungakwanchosangun, 1720s-30s, colors on silk, 100.5 x 73.6 cm, Kansong Art Museum). With names of over 30

### III

#### PAINTERS WHO RELIED ON MEMORY

Like their master Jeong Seon, some of his followers relied on memory at the expense of accuracy: Choe Buk (崔鉉, 1712-1786; sobriquet, Hosaenggwan), Jeong Hwang (槿樸, 1733-7; sobriquet, Sonam), and Kim Eunghwan (金應煥, 1742-1785; sobriquet, Bokheon), Sin Hakwong (申括陽, 1785-1866, sobriquet, Daam). But another group of Jeong’s followers showed interest in accurate description: Kim Yun-gyeom (金雲衍, 1712-1786, sobriquet, Hosaenggwan), also a man of letters, well versed in the Chinese classics. A number of literary records refer to him as “a scholar devoted to The Book of Changes” (周易) and The Doctrine of the Mean (中庸),\(^{23}\) and state that his painting style was largely influenced by theories expounded in these works.\(^{24}\) The genealogical record of his family states that he wrote the book The Illustrated Account of the Book of Changes (圖解周易).\(^{25}\)

The disparity in physical features between his landscape paintings and the actual scene was in fact a dominant style in the early Joseon period. To take his earlier work as an example, “Seongnyu Cave” (聖雲洞, Seongnyugol, ink and light colors on paper, 27.3 x 28.5 cm, Kansong Art Museum), painted in the 1730s-40s, features at its center a rocky peak projecting skyward with small hills on the right and Wangpi River (王壁川) on the left. In reality, however, the rocky peak and the adjacent hills are the same height. The exaggeration of the rocky peak at the center is in accordance with the composition of traditional landscapes. The surface of the rock was painted darker with repeated ink retouches, a technique originated from the axe-cut texture stroke (斧劈皴法) of the Chinese Northern School of painting. On the contrary, the hemp-fiber strokes and various dot texture strokes used to depict the earthy hills are techniques of the Chinese Southern School. Jeong Seon thus combined the two distinct styles to create his own original one.\(^{26}\) He also used this combination of techniques when painting other subjects, such as Danbaek Ridge (丹碧嶺), various peaks of the Inner Diamond Mountain, the periphery of Mt. Inwang, and the Han River.

With his head turning 360°, just like an owl. While the peaks in the upper middle section are seen from the front view, the rugged peaks on the sides are seen from the side. Together, the cluster of peaks creates a circular composition, which is quite a logical arrangement. In addition, the peaks rising one above another have been described with a high-distance perspective (遠眺法). This unique viewpoint and composition emphasize the solemn beauty of the mountain and the originality of the artist (Figure 1).

Although this painting does not name the peaks, focusing only on its aesthetic aspect, some of Jeong’s other paintings of the same mountain name various spots, for example, “Complete View of the Inner Mt. Geumgang” (金剛內山圖, Geumgangsan naechohonga, 1711), part of The Album of Paintings of Geumgangsan in Autumn (金剛山秋圖), Pungaktcheop, ink and light color on silk, 36 x 37.4 cm, National Museum of Korea) and “Complete Survey of the Inner Mt. Geumgang in Autumn” (金剛內山瞭望, Pungakwanchosangun, 1720s-30s, colors on silk, 100.5 x 73.6 cm, Kansong Art Museum). With names of over 30

### 01

#### JEONG SEON

It seems that Jeong Seon was not interested in depicting the actual scenery as faithfully as possible.
spots, including the peaks such as Biro Peak ( 이런봉), Hyeolmang Peak (혜람봉), Sohyangno Peak (소향봉), Daehyangno Peak (대향봉), and Junghyang Crags (주향채); valleys of Manpok Valley (만폭천) and Baekcheondong (백천동); and temples including Jangan Temple (장안사), Pyohun Temple (벽훈사), and Jeongyang Temple (정영사), these paintings are almost like three-dimensional maps. No map before or after Jeong Seon had such detailed information of the mountain. In other words, these comprehensive paintings of the Diamond Mountain have no precedent of any kind. They are a patchwork of memories of spots surveyed by the artist. Of course, Jeong’s memory must have failed him sometimes, resulting in the wrong position or different shape of a certain peak or spot. Saja Cliff (사자대) in the “General View of Mt. Geumgang” would be a good example.

The bird’s-eye view of the beautiful mountain captured in a circular composition contains all the peaks, valleys, and temples that Jeong visited on foot and memorized in his head. From Jangan Temple at the foot of the mountain to the highest Biro Peak, his viewpoint moved as he traveled. This way, he created a very original style that captured a wide expanse of mountain within a single frame. It is a wonderful idea that strikes a chord with anyone who has wished to see the whole spectacular mountain in one sight. This creative style is so closely associated with Koreans’ collective impression of the mountain that Jeong’s paintings of the Diamond Mountain have been loved by many people past and present.

When compared with the actual landscape, the top section of “General View of Mt. Geumgang” (with Biro Peak surrounded by Junghyang Crags) is similar to the scenery as viewed from Bangu Cliff (부꾸대). The only difference is that Biro Peak, the main peak of the mountain, has a rather round top in the painting (Figure 2). In spite of its similarity to the real scene, the
An album of paintings and poetry, the inner part of the mountain, similar to Jeong's 1742 Ottilien. Painted in the 1730s-40s, this painting depicts jeondo Inner painting under investigation was "General View of the Geumgangsan Point of View..."

Painted in the 1730s, the main peak has been extremely exaggerated, shooting skyward above the rugged crags of Junghyang Crags, perhaps in an attempt to exaggerate the heights of the peaks, they could not find a single point that gave them a complete view of the entire area as depicted in the painting. This result confirms Jeong Seon's unique viewpoint—the combination of a bird's-eye view and a multiple viewpoint.

The two scholars reported the result of their study as "disappointing." Even with a map of the hypothetical topography, created by exaggerating the heights of the peaks, they could not find a single point that gave them a complete view of the entire area as depicted in the painting. This result confirms Jeong Seon's unique viewpoint—the combination of a bird's-eye view and a multiple viewpoint.

A Multiple Viewpoint and a Moving Point of View

Jeong Seon used a bird's-eye view, a multiple viewpoint (view angle and a moving point of view) in all in one painting. The combination of viewpoints is easily found in his paintings of the entire mountain viewed from Danbal Ridge, as well as other paintings of Jangansa Temple and Jeongyang Temple and Manpok Valley and Baskcheondong valleys. Unlike "General View of Mt. Geumgang," these paintings reveal the painter's original way of viewing the subject. It is as if the artist painted them while moving in the sky above the scenery.

The Album of Paintings of the Mt. Geumgang in Autumn, completed in 1711, includes a painting that Jeong Seon created after returning from his first trip to the mountain: "Mt. Geumgang Viewed from Danbal Ridge" (錦繡山觀賞圖, Danbalgyeongmyaeng geumgangsang, ink and light colors on silk, 34.3 x 39 cm, National Museum of Korea). He painted the same scene 10-20 years later, and in the later version, titled "General View of Mt. Geumgang Viewed from Danbal Ridge" (錦繡山觀賞圖, Danbalgyeongmyaeng geumgangsang, ink on paper, 25.7 x 28.5 cm, National Museum of Korea), the hill is lower and the mountain much higher. The painting dates to the 1730s, when the artist was in his late 40s or 50s. In reality, however, the mountain is barely visible from the hill. Many years after he had explored every nook and cranny of the mountain, Jeong might have found the mountain in his memory so imposing that he depicted it as clearly visible from the faraway hill.

The later version, "General View of Mt. Geumgang Viewed from Danbal Ridge" has two distinct sections: the hill on the right is depicted as if viewed from below, from the foot of the hill, while the mountain on the left is a distant bird's-eye view. There are three people at the top of the hill. Two of them are holding a piece of drawing paper. The third, presumed to be Jeong Seon himself, is painting the distant mountain with his back to the viewer. Dressed in a horsehair hat and white robe, the painter must have made some preliminary sketches on the spot, admiring the view spread out before him. The scene has a horizontal field of view close to 90°, meaning the entire scene could be framed by a wide-angle lens with a focal length of less than 28mm. No painter before Jeong Seon had thought of adopting this wide range of field.

"Bihong Bridge at Jangan Temple" (長安寺飛虹橋, Jangansa Bihonggyo, colors on silk, 32 x 24.8 cm, Kansong Art Museum) from 1742, included in the Transmission of the Spirit of the Ocean and Mountains, gives a detailed view of the temple, similar to the simplified version that forms part of the 1734 work "General View of Mt. Geumgang." The painting of the bridge at the foot of the mountain aptly illustrates how the painter used a moving point of view. Today, the arched bridge has been replaced by a horizontal concrete bridge, and only the site of the temple remains. However, a photograph of Jangan Temple taken in the 1930s shows that it was quite similar to the temple depicted in the painting. One obvious difference is the two-storey pavilion near the bridge, which is far closer to the water in the painting than in the photograph.

In the "Bihong Bridge..." painting the scenery is viewed from higher up in the sky, compared with the corresponding scene in "General View..." Jeong's confidence and dexterity in brushstrokes resulted in the masterpiece expressed with an excellent gradation of ink. Somewhere in the wooded peaks on the left of the canvas would be a path to Jeongyong Temple, and on the right, across the stream, we can see a path up to Jangyesong Peak and Myeonggyeong Cliff. This composition is very similar to the view I saw at Jangan Temple site. However, the peaks in the background, including the faintly distant view of Gwaneum Peak (관음봉) at the center, are twice as high as the actual peaks.

In this painting, following the ever-changing perspective of the painter, there are three distinct viewpoints: 1) Bihong Bridge viewed while approaching it from Naegang-ri; 2) Jangan Temple viewed from Bihong Bridge; and 3) Gwaneum Peak viewed from Jangan Temple. In 1998, I took two photographs at the site using a 35mm wide-angle lens, one of the temple bridge taken at a point downstream of the river and the other of the distant peak framed from the temple site. These two photographs represent the first and third viewpoints.
described above, while a photograph of Jangan Temple taken in the 1930s represents the second viewpoint. To reproduce the three separate viewpoints of the painting, the three photographs would have to be combined somehow in one frame. This analysis shows that Jeong Seon used a combination of a few different viewpoints recomposed in a bird’s-eye view (Figure 3).

Painted in the 1740s, the fan painting “Jeongyang Temple” (硯沼正陽寺, ink and light color on paper, 22 x 61 cm, National Museum of Korea) has a complete view of the Inner Diamond Mountain, with the temple as the main subject. The temple is nestled in one of the wooded peaks on the left, over which rocky crags are spread wide to cover the entire fan. The painter’s signature (䃯㗕) and the title of the painting at the top left indicate that this is one of his later works. Depicting Jeongyang Temple and the entire inner mountain viewed from Cheonii Cliff (大一), the painting clearly reveals the artist’s skill in capturing an extensive range of view (Figure 4).

A group of people, probably the painter and his party of travelers, is seen under the fir trees on Cheonii Cliff in the lower middle part of the fan. The field of view from the cliff that encompasses all the inner part of the mountain—including the peaks of Biroy Peak, Junghyang Crags, Hyeolmang Peak, Daehyangno Peak and Sohyangno Peak—is almost 180°. The painter must have looked around the vast landscape turning his head from left to right, and then depicted them all in one scene. The painter’s group is pictured as seen from Banggyang Cliff (拔光閣), the smaller peak of dotted texture at the far right bottom. In sum, this painting consists of three different viewpoints—the temple viewed from the cliff at the center; the rocky crags viewed from the same cliff; and the group of people viewed from the smaller cliff on the far right. All these different viewpoints are combined to produce a spectacular bird’s-eye view. There is no way to have this kind of view at any point on the land, which means this painting is a product of the painter’s imagination (Figure 5).

The two-storey structure in the temple complex shown in the painting is Heolseong Pavilion (懷桐軒). When I visited the Diamond Mountain in 1998, I took a picture of the inner mountain from the site of the now non-existent pavilion. However, neither my photograph of the pavilion nor the one taken in the 1930s can capture the mountain in the background as magnificently as the painting. Although I tried both a 20mm wide-angle lens and a panoramic camera, the trees on the border of the pavilion site have grown so high that a wide view of the mountain was impossible to obtain. Then, I realized that the landscape reconfigured based on the painter’s memory and imagination delivered a sense of reality even stronger than photographs.

The moving, multiple points of view employed in this painting is of great significance in understanding Jeong Seon’s landscape painting because this style is reflected in his masterpiece “General View of Mt. Geumgang” to a more amplified effect. In addition, it is quite reasonable to assume that his many other paintings depicting the general view of the mountain were also conceived on the cliff or the pavilion described in this painting.

When compared with “Jeongyang Temple” (硯沼正陽寺, ink and light color on paper, 56 x 42.8 cm, Kansong Art Museum, part of Album of Paintings of Eight Scenic Spots in Mt. Geumgang (金剛八景圖冊. Geumgang palgyeong docheop)
completed in the 1730s-40s, the fan painting indicates that the painter’s memory was sometimes inaccurate. Heolseong Pavilion, nicknamed “the main hall of the Diamond Mountain,” was a one-storey structure, but in this painting it is a two-storey one built on stone pillars. The hexagonal building at the center of the temple is Yaksa Hall (雅敧閣), which remains intact today.

Although depicting the same temple, the regular paper version has an angle of view half as narrow as that of the fan-painting version. It seems that a 28mm wide-angle lens would be able to frame the entire scenery. The temple is a view from Cheonilidae; Biro Peak and the surrounding peaks are partly visible beyond the earthy peaks around the temple. Again, this painting is a combination of two different viewpoints: the temple from the cliff and the top of the mountain from the temple.

These two paintings of Jeongyang Temple also incorporate multiple points of view in one frame with yet another viewpoint that integrates all the rest. The mountain peaks are seen from the pavilion at the temple; the pavilion is seen from the cliff at the center with the painter’s group on it; and the group of people is seen from the smaller cliff on the right. Finally, an imaginary third party looks down on all these, including the painter himself. In sum, this is the same style used in the above-mentioned paintings of Jangan Temple and of the Diamond Mountain viewed from Danbal Ridge.

This composition represents the simultaneous presence of the spiritual [the third party overlooking the view from above], the human [the painter] and the material [the scenery]. I want to link this composition to the thought of Practical Learning or Silhak, an influential school of thought during the time of Jeong Seon, which claimed that there was no distinction between human and material properties.34 I consider this composition to be related with the tradition of East Asian landscape painting, which described scenery not in isolation but with a human presence in the foreground—usually the painter himself with his house or pavilion surrounded by trees or woods. This is in parallel with the attitude of Asian philosophy to see the self and the other, and humans and the environment, not as a confronting pair but as one in harmonious unity.

3) Contracted and Simplified Landscape in a Wide-Angle View

As confirmed by his characteristic use of viewpoint, Jeong Seon had an especially wide field of view. In addition, he was also skilled at contracting a wide view onto the narrow canvas. A good example is “After Rain at Mt. Inwang” (仁王岳霧雨後，Inwangjeokdo) painted in 1751. One of his greatest masterpieces, this painting is so full of power and vitality that it is hard to believe it is the work of a 75-year-old artist. The massive rocks, rendered dark by repeated ink retouches, stand magnificently above the mist after summer rain (Figure 6).

The towering rocks are shrouded in mist at their feet, and the house in the foreground is surrounded by pine trees. This house must be the point from which the painter viewed the mountain. It seems to be located somewhere near Songseok Hill (松石嶺), halfway between Ongnyu Stream (永武川) on the left and Cheongpung Valley (淸蓬谷) on the right. The house and its surroundings look similar to those described in “Samseung Pavilion” (三峰閣, Samseungjeong, 1741, ink and light color on silk, 40 x 66.7 cm, private collection) or “View from Samseung Pavilion” (三峰桃園, Samseungjomang, ink and light color on silk, 39.7 x 66.7 cm, private collection). These paintings were commissioned by Yi Chunje (李春機, 1692-1761), who wanted Jeong to paint his house. The similarity leads to a presumption that the house might have belonged to Yi, but again, it could have been the artist’s own because it also resembles his house depicted in “The House in the Valley of Mt. Inwang” (仁王岳谷中家, Inok yuge, ink and light color on paper, 27.5 x 27.3 cm, Kansong Art Museum) included in Album of Paintings of Scenic Spots in Seoul and Its Suburbs (京郊名勝帖, Gyeongggyo myeongseungcheop) painted in 1740-41. Regardless of the ownership of the house, the scenery of Mt. Inwang must have been very familiar to Jeong Seon because he lived in its vicinity in the Ogin-dong neighborhood.35 Perhaps, the familiarity explains why among all Jeong’s true-view landscape paintings this one of Mt. Inwang bears the closest resemblance to the actual scenery.

Nevertheless, the actual view captured in the painting is much wider than what the human eye can take in from any spot as close to the mountain as the house depicted. To frame such a wide view on the canvas, the horizontal range of the mountain has been considerably contracted, especially to the left of the bald peak. In reality, to capture the entire range as in the painting, the horizontal angle of view needs to be almost 150° from the house (Figure 7). From that point, the mountain cannot be framed with a 20mm wide-angle lens, while it can be from a spot 200-300m farther away using a 35mm lens. In the latter case, however, the peaks in the middle become too small, and almost half of the scenery gets hidden by the hills of Cheongpung Valley. But in the painting this part is not hidden because of the bird’s-eye view. If the point of view is moved to the left toward Ongnyu Stream, the mountain ridge can be fully captured with a panorama camera, framing at last the full scope of the painting without contracting the middle. To capture a similar scene to the painting, that is, the main peaks looming large in the middle and the right part of the mountain not hidden by Cheongpung Valley, the viewer has to be standing at least 500-600m farther away, maybe halfway up Mt. Bargak on the opposite side of Mt. Inwang, and use a 50mm standard lens or a 85mm telephoto lens (Figure 8).
As a depiction of Seoul within the city walls as seen from the foot of M't. Inwang, “View from Suseomeun Pavillon” (1741) shares the same theme with two paintings of the same period: “Seoul Wrapped in Mist under the Moon” (長安楼夜月) and “Seoul Wrapped in Mist after Rain” (長安樓雨後). Both are included in Album of Paintings of Eight Scenic Spots on Namhansan (南漢山八景圖), but only the former depicts Seoul. The latter, however, features a view of Namhansan’s two peaks at a distance (Daehyangno Peak and Sohyangno Peak). The vertical field of view is almost 90°, an angle that could be framed by a 25mm wide-angle lens, with the camera body rotated. The distance between the rock cliffs on either side has been shortened, so that the falls look twice as long as they do in real life. By doing so, the painter succeeded in capturing not only the grand view of the falls but also its roaring sound.

A vertically wide angle of view was also used when depicting a narrow valley with a wide background view, as in “Manpok Valley” (瀿岺谷), (1740s, ink and light color on paper, 33 x 22 cm, Seoul National University Museum). In reality, the composition of the painting cannot be obtained from any spot in the valley. The photograph of Geumgang Cliff (虎頭嶺) and Bodeok Cave (寶德洞) that I took using a 28mm lens and standing at the flat rock in the valley captured only one fifth of the scene in the painting. In fact, the painting seamlessly combines a view of the valley from Cheonhak Cliff on the left, and a view of the distant Biro Peak from the valley. The vertical angle of view amounts to 120° if converted to the horizontal. The painter and his party standing on the flat rock look as if they were viewed from the pine wood below the cliff.

“Geumgang Cliff” (虎頭嶺), 1750s, ink and light color on paper, 28.8 x 22 cm, Kansong Art Museum, painted a decade after “Manpok Valley,” captures only part of the same scenery. This time, the angle of view is much narrower and the description is very simple. The horizontal angle of view that includes the two cliffs and the two peaks is about 30°, which can be easily captured with an 85mm or 135mm telephoto lens from a distance. It seems that, after so many years, minor details of the landscape faded from the painter’s memory leaving only the central figures. This simplification, however, greatly enhances the artistry of the work (Figure 9).

“Geumgang Cliff” resembles a modern watercolor, with the two rock cliffs (Cheonhak Cliff on the left and Geumgang Cliff in the middle) rising above the pine woods floating in the foggy mist, and the faintly bluish silhouettes of the two peaks at a distance (Đaehyangno Peak and Sohyangno Peak). The refreshing view after a misty rain almost gives the impression of sacredness. The transcendental view of two lonesome cliffs shows a new style that Jeong Seon developed in his very late years, an example of which is yet another depiction of “Jeongnyang Temple” (龍興寺), Jeongyangsa, ink and light color on silk, 21.9 x 28.8 cm, Kansong Art Museum, depicting a temple nestled snugly in an earthly mountain exquisitely rendered with dot-texture strokes.

“Cheongpung Valley” is notable for its bold contraction of the background scenery, giving a closer view of the valley entrance and also a distant, partial view of M’t. Inwang.

“Bagyeon Falls” is a view of a waterfall from below, probably from the pine woods at the bottom left of the canvas. The vertical field of view is almost 90°, an angle that could be framed by a 25mm wide-angle lens, with the camera body rotated. The distance between the rock cliffs on either side has been shortened, so that the falls look twice as long as they do in real life. By doing so, the painter succeeded in capturing not only the grand view of the falls but also its roaring sound.

The same is true for “Lake Mi” (瑾湖, Miho) and “Gwang Ferry” (黃軒, Gwangyi), “Bagyeon Falls,” the 1750s painting discussed above. Sometimes, Jeong Seon tried even more extreme contraction of the horizontal range of field to fit a vertically long scroll, as in the 1739 work “Cheongpung Valley” (清風谷, ink and light color on paper, 153.6 x 59 cm, Kansong Art Museum) and “Bagyeon Falls,” the 1750s painting discussed above. In both paintings the subject is depicted from a high-distant perspective with repeated ink retouches.

*Comparison of Jeong Seon’s “Manpok Valley” and “Geumgang Cliff”*

Top: “Manpok Valley” (瀿岺谷), 1740s, ink and light color on silk, 13.3 x 33.1 cm, Seoul National University Museum

Bottom: “Geumgang Cliff” (虎頭嶺), 1750s, ink and light color on paper, 28.8 x 22 cm, Kansong Art Museum
True-view landscape painting reached its full potential in the work of Jeong Seon, and was further enriched by his followers. Among them, Choe Buk, Jeong Hwang, Kim Eunghwan and Shin Hwakwon were more committed to imitating the great master’s works than expressing the landscape as close to reality as possible. In doing so, however, they developed their own originality, albeit to a limited extent. The fan painting of “General View of Mt. Geumgang” (扇面, 전산도, Geumgangjeondo, 1779, Joseon Art Museum in Pyongyang, North Korea) are straightforward imitations of Jeong’s masterpieces. However, “Pyohun Temple” (서남산, Pyohunsa, 1760-70s, ink and light color on paper, 38.5 x 57.3 cm, private collection) reveals a slight change in style. Choe painted a partial, not a general, view of the Diamond Mountain from a much lower viewpoint. This angle of view results from painting on site, a practice in line with his emulation of Shim Sajeong’s style in the description of mountains and trees. Nevertheless, all three of Choe’s paintings of the Diamond Mountain fail to show the passionate personality of the artist, who, as legend has it, was so mesmerized by the splendor of Mt. Geumgang that he wanted to throw himself off the mountain that he had to throw himself off the top of Guryong Falls.

While Choe Buk was not able to go beyond Jeong Seon’s style in painting a general view of the Diamond Mountain, he attempted changes by learning from Shim Sajeong and pursued a style of his own. Kim Hongdo and Yi Immun (이인문, 1745-1821; sobriquet, Gosongnyusugwan) did not alter the style of the Southern School used ink wash and light color, hemp-fiber strokes, moss dots, layered ink wash, and the dynamic rhythm flowing through T-shaped pine trees. Yi and other literati painters who followed the style of the Southern School used ink wash and light color, hemp fiber strokes, and the fabulous dry brushwork created by loosening the brush hairs, making a strong contrast to Jeong Seon’s paintings. The brushwork of these literati painters, however, developed from their talent in calligraphy, is unrefined and rather clumsy, but this helps emphasize the outlines of the objects in an original way.

A number of literati painters sympathized with Jeong’s style and turned to true-view landscape painting. Yi Insang was a leading figure among those who, instead of simulating the real view on the spot, reinterpreted the scenery.36 Heo Pil, Yi Yunjeong (이윤정, 1714-1759, sobriquet, Danneung), and Yun Jehong followed Yi Insang’s style. In their true-view landscape paintings that carry place names, the resemblance to the actual scenery is 20-40 percent, that is, less than 50 percent. Yi Insang and his followers reinterpreted the landscape from memory in the Southern School style, envisioning an ideal landscape of leisure and seclusion to which they could escape from the mundane world.

Yi Insang’s “Guryong Falls” (구룡발, Guryongpok, ink and wash on paper, 118.2 x 58.5 cm, National Museum of Korea), painted with simple ink lines and light color, does not portray the characteristics of a waterfall. As explained at the lower left of the canvas, the painting was executed based on memory 15 years after he had traveled to the Diamond Mountain in 1737 at the age of 28. Further, Yi explained: “I only painted the bones of the object with a stubby brush and ink wash, leaving out the flesh and ignoring color shades, not out of carelessness but because I painted from the heart.”37 Indeed, the work, which exudes the sensitivity of a scholar, is a fine example of a painting from memory preserved in the artist’s mind. Yi placed emphasis on the texture of the rocks rather than capturing the sound of the waterfall. Though it seems meaningless to discuss the angle of view or viewpoint of this painting, the waterfall is viewed from below on a nearby hill in a vertical composition.

Kim Eunghwan’s 1722 painting “General View of Mt. Geumgang” (인용, 22.3 x 35.2 cm, collection of Park Juwan of Seoul), which he gave to his friend Kim Hongdo, is a complete copy of Jeong Seon’s painting of the same name. However, when he and Kim Hongdo went to paint the same mountain in 1788 under King Jeongjo’s command, his approach was a little different. As a result, “Heoleusang Pavilion,” “Chilba Cliff (칠바대, Chilbaekdo),” “Ongnyu Valley (온뉴곡, Ongnyudong),” “Manmul Crags (만물יא, Manmulgang),” and “Haesan Pavilion (해산정, Haesanjeong)” —the paintings said to have been produced during the time—bear a closer resemblance to the actual places.

In the works of Choe Buk and Kim Eunghwan, the overall resemblance to reality is higher than 50 percent. However, Jeong Hwang, grandson of Jeong Seon, and Shin Hwakwon, a literati painter, did not digress from Jeong Seon’s style, showing a level of accuracy around 30 percent. For this reason, their paintings are devoid of fresh perspective, new angle of view or creative originality.

I once organized an exhibition of paintings from memory of Yi Insang, Heo Pil, and Yi Jehong.38

The display of Jeong’s “Bagyeon Falls” and Yi’s “View of Mt. Jangbaek” (장백산, Jangbaeksando, ink on paper, 26.2 x 122.0 cm, private collection) enabled a stark contrast between “force” and “spirit.” In this light, Jeong boldly modified the real scenery in his paintings, focusing on the plastic forces of the landscape, while Yi Insang and other literati painters who espoused Yi’s ideas followed the tradition of the Chinese Southern School or, at least, displayed the same kind of sensibilities. Jeong by altering the force as “spirit” in Jeong Seon’s powerful expression as “vigor” (勇, se) and the “literary energy” (文能, mungyeon) reflected in Yi Insang’s sensitive yet restrained lines and light coloring as “spirit” (魂, gŭ).39

Yi Insang’s “Guryong Falls” (九龍瀑, Guryongpok, ink and wash on paper, 118.2 x 58.5 cm, National Museum of Korea), painted with simple ink lines and light color, does not portray the characteristics of a waterfall. As explained at the lower left of the canvas, the painting was executed based on memory 15 years after he had traveled to the Diamond Mountain in 1737 at the age of 28. Further, Yi explained: “I only painted the bones of the object with a stubby brush and ink wash, leaving out the flesh and ignoring color shades, not out of carelessness but because I painted from the heart.”37 Indeed, the work, which exudes the sensitivity of a scholar, is a fine example of a painting from memory preserved in the artist’s mind. Yi placed emphasis on the texture of the rocks rather than capturing the sound of the waterfall. Though it seems meaningless to discuss the angle of view or viewpoint of this painting, the waterfall is viewed from below on a nearby hill in a vertical composition.

“Eunseon Cliff” (은선당, Eineondo, light color on paper, 34 x 55 cm, Kansong Art Museum), painted between 1740 and 1750, is clearly viewed from below, making a sharp contrast with Jeong Seon’s “Bujeong Cliff” (부정표)}.
Yi Yunyeong, who developed his own style based on the ink wash and dry brushwork of his close friend Yi Insang, also transformed the real landscape in the manner of the Southern School, as seen in the fan painting of “Oksun Peak” (絹本淡墨扇, light color on paper, 57.5 x 27.3 cm, Korea University Museum) dated to the 1750s. A rock pillar, removed from the peak and positioned in the middle of the river, does not bear any similarity to the actual scenery and is thus an eyesore.

Yun Jehong, another literati painter who interpreted Yi Insang’s ink wash painting in his own style, left behind a considerable number of true-view landscapes. “Oksun Peak” (玉巒頂, Oksunbong, 1833, ink on paper, 67 x 45.5 cm, Leeum-Samsung Museum of Art), part of a eight-panel folding screen titled “Eight Landscape Finger Paintings” (指頭山水圖 八幅, Jidusansudo palpokbyeong) is considered to be his major work—a painting done with the fingernails with an original expression of shading. Comparison of the scene in the painting and real life reveals there is no waterfall on the actual site, unlike in the painting where a high waterfall is positioned on the left in the distant background. Compared to the actual scenery, the position of the two rocks, large and small, has been reversed. The composition is undeniably Southern School style with a pavilion set against a large rock, a bridge leading to the pavilion, and figures in the pavilion and on the bridge.

The reason for such transformation of the real scenery is explained by the painter as follows: “Whenever I went to Oksun Peak, I felt the lack of a pavilion at the bottom of the cliff. I recently obtained and copied an album of Yi Insang’s paintings. Surely this imitation will make up for its absence.” Maintaining only the image of the rock in his memory, the rest of the landscape was recreated in the painting according to Yun’s ideal of a retreat in nature, an example of transformation of the actual landscape to suit the taste of the literati painter.

With Heo Pil, the actual scenery underwent major transformation, as demonstrated in “View of Myogiilsang” (妙吉嶺, Myogiilsangdo, 1759, ink and wash on paper, 27.6 cm, National Museum of Korea). Heo transformed the rock-carved seated Buddha into a standing monk while turning the Goryeo stone lantern into a five-storey stone pagoda with a crane placed on top (Figure 11). By contrast, the fan painting of “General View of Mt. Geumgang” (國賜含韜全圖, Seomyeong

IV ARTISTS WHO PAINTED FROM ACTUAL SCENERY

As an increasing number of painters sketched on site after close inspection, true-view landscape painting further developed in the late 18th century. Literati painters such as Shim Sajeong, Kang Sehwang, and Jeong Suyeong (שלידי), 1743–1831; sobriquet, Jiujae) belong to the school of true-view landscape painting. Compared to their mentor, Jeong Seon’s disciples such as Kim Yun-gyeom, Kang Chungyoe, Kang Huieron (康熙 Esto, 1738–1784; sobriquet, Damjael, Jeong Sheungb (張樹僊, ca. 18th c.; sobriquet, Banghoja), Kim Yuseong, and Kim Inmun took an interest in sketching from actual sites. In particular, Kim Hongdo was a master who escalated the pictorial quality of landscape painting from actual sites to near perfection. Painters such as Eom Chik (嚴復), ca. 18th c.; Sobriquet, Gwanha), Yi Janghwa (李康華, 1783–1837; sobriquet, Sodang), Yi Yusin (李峻信, ca. 18th c.; sobriquet, Seokdang), Jo Jeonggyu (趙廷奎, 1791–7; sobriquet, Imjeon), and Kim Hajong (金夏卿, 1793–7; sobriquet, Yudang) espoused painting from nature after Kim Hongdo.

01 SHIM SAJEONG, KANG SEHWANG, JEONG SYEONG

Shim Sajeong, Kang Sehwang, and Jeong Suyeong are literati artists who painted faithfully from actual scenery in contrast with Yi Insang and his followers. Shim moved beyond true-view landscapes that bear traces of Jeong Seon’s influence to faithful reproduction of actual scenic spots with a lower viewpoint that have an accuracy level of around 80 percent. His brushwork techniques are of the standard of a professional painter. On the other hand, the resemblance to nature in the paintings of Kang Sehwang and Jeong Suyeong is only between 40 and 70 percent, depending on their drawing techniques.

Shim, a literati painter of the late Joseon period, completely Koreanized the landscape painting of the Chinese Southern School, which had a huge influence on latter-day artists. It is well-known that the landscape painting style of Kim Hongdo and Yi Inmun was derived from Shim’s brush and ink techniques. Shim successfully Koreanized the literati paintings of the Southern Chinese School, probably because he took up true-view landscape painting under the guidance of Jeong Seon.
Jeong's influence is clearly evident in Shim's "Manpok Valley" (만복천, Manpokdang, light color on paper, 32 x 22 cm, Kansong Art Museum) that had been in the possession of Kim Gwangguk (김광국, 1727-1797; sobriquet, Seongnong), a late Joseon medical officer reputed for his art collection. The painting features the layered-wash (채undy, ink accumulated-ink) technique with modified axe-cut texture strokes and a composition similar to that of Jeong Seon’s "Bodeok Cave" (보덕포, Bodeokgil, 1711, light color on paper, 36.1 x 26.1 cm, National Museum of Korea).

"Myeonggyeong Cliff" (미경계봉, Myeonggyeongjeongdae, light color on paper, 27.7 x 18.8 cm, Kansong Art Museum), though painted in the same period as "Manpok Valley," is relatively faithful to the actual scenery. The painting depicts Myeonggyeong Cliff seen from below and nearby Okgyeong Pond (오계령пу), the Hwangcheon River (황천강), and remnants of a fortress related to the legend of the Crown Prince Taekjo (대조태자) of the Silla Kingdom. Across the valley, the peaks of Uijang Peak (의장봉) and Siwang Peak (시왕봉) can be seen, if indistinctively. The scene depicted in the painting is close to the actual scenery when viewed standing on the flat rock under Okgyeong Lake, and can be captured with a 35mm wide-angle camera lens. The dry brushwork and moss dots are used in the manner of the Southern Chinese School.

Shim Sajeong’s "Myeonggyeong Cliff" (미경계봉, Myeonggyeongjeongdong, ca. 1730-1740, light color on paper, 56 x 42.8 cm, Kansong Art Museum) in terms of viewpoint although the two paintings share the same subject matter. "Baekcheon Valley," part of an eight-panel folding screen of the "Eight Scenic Spots in Mt. Geumgang" (금강팔경도경, Geumgang polygonyo dochong), captures a bird's-eye view of the scenery with Myeonggyeongjeong as the central focus, whereas Shim's "Myeonggyeongjeong Cliff" its a worm's eye view seen from below, painted on-site.

Seoknong hwawon (석농화원), from the collection of Kim Gwangguk, includes Shim's "Pine Tree and Pavilion at Gyesan" (기산봉성전, Gyesanseojeong, light color on paper, 29.7 x 22.7 cm, Kansong Art Museum) (Figure 12), which is similar in composition to "Myeonggyeongjeongjeong" by the same painter. A pine tree and pavilion rendered with simple brushwork are positioned above a hill in the foreground, underneath a rack reminiscent of Myeonggyeongjeong. The prominence of the cliff is lowered by placing a towering mountain in the left corner, the actual scenery modified to create a landscape with pine tree and pavilion, which are typical elements of Southern School landscapes. The light color applied over the pimajun (hemp-fibre strokes) and moss dots (새재, taechoom) are also characteristic of the Southern School. "Myeonggyeongjeongjeong" is an example of realistic depiction of the actual scenery, using the techniques of the Southern School, while "Pine Tree and Pavilion at Gyesan" is an example of transformation of the scenery into a landscape idealized by the same school. In the process of producing such works, Shim Koreanized the Southern School style, and his style and techniques were passed on to whole to Kim Hongdo and Yi Inmun.

As Kang Sehwang and Jeong Suyeong were not up to Shim's standard in their skills for life-like depiction, the level of accuracy of Kang's true-view landscapes is 60-70 percent while that of Jeong’s is only 40-60 percent.

A literati painter and art critic of the Joseon period, Kang Sehwang exerted huge influence on the art circle of his time. 41 He left behind albums of landscape paintings as a result of traveling around scenic spots in Songdo (松都) (currently Kaesong), the Diamond Mountain, and Buan. In particular, Travel Painting Album of Songdo (松都旅行絵巻, Songdo ginaengcheop, ca. 1757) is representative of Kang's true-view landscape style.41 In particular, "Bagyeon Falls" (바야온), Bagyeon, 32.8 x 53.4 cm, National Museum of Korea illustrates a scene quite close to that captured by a 35mm wide-angle lens. The placement of Bagyeon at the head of the waterfall, Uomo Pond (우모도 at the bottom, and Beomsa Pavilion (법사관) at the left of the pond is faithful to the actual scenery. The waterfall shown at the left of the canvas, which grows narrower towards the top, is rendered in perspective. Even the folds in the nearby rock face are realistically depicted. With its rich combination of ink wash and light color, this painting gives a stronger impression of the actual landscape than Jeong’s "Bagyeon Falls." But Kang’s painting lacks the energy of Jeong’s, which seems to capture the roaring sound of the waterfall (Figure 13).

( Figure 12 )
Shim Sajeon’s "Myeonggyeongjeongjeong" and comparison to actual scenery

Left: "Pine Tree and Pavilion at Gyesan" (기산봉성전, Gyesanseojeong), light color on paper, 29.7 (h) x 22.7 (w) cm, Kansong Art Museum
Center: "Myeonggyeongjeongjeong" (미경계봉), Shim Sajeong, 1740-50s, light color on paper, 29.7 (h) x 22.7 (w) cm, Kansong Art Museum
Right: Photo of the actual scenery from the 1500s.

( Figure 13 )
Comparison of paintings of Bagyeon Falls by Jeong Seon and Kang Sehwang
Left: "Bagyeon Falls" (바야온), Jeong Seon, 1757s, ink on paper, 119.5 (h) x 52 (w) cm, private collection
Top right: "Bagyeon" (바야온), Kang Sehwang, from Joseon Painting Album of Songdo, 1757s, light color on paper, 32.8 (h) x 53.4 (w) cm, National Museum of Korea
Bottom right: Photo of Bagyeon Falls, 1990s
At the first glance, the bird’s eye view is similar to that used in Jeong Seon’s “View of Inner Mt. Geumgang,” but the angle of view from Heolseong Pavilion to Biro Peak and Hyeolmang Peak is a mere 60°–70°. A photograph of Heolseong Pavilion from the Japanese colonial period (1910–1945), showing exactly the same composition as the painting, enables accurate comparison between the two works (Figure 15). Comparing it with the horizontal angle used in the painting by Jeong Chungyeop, the photograph seems to have been taken with a 35mm wide-angle lens. This means the view in the painting is close to the one captured by a modern-day camera, thanks to on-site sketching.

Kim Yun-gyeom had yet to go beyond the influence of Jeong Seon when he was painting the Diamond Mountain and the resemblance of his works to the actual scenery barely reaches 50 percent. This changed in later works, especially those depicting the Yeongnam region, which reach an accuracy level of about 80 percent. His "Wonhwadong River" (月桂洞天, Wonhwadongcheon) and "Myeonggyeong Cliff" from Album with Views of Bangnae (蓬萊園, Bangnae-dowon, 1768) display light brushstrokes and coloring, giving the impression of being simplified versions of Jeong Seon’s paintings of the same scenes. Kim had an excellent command of ink wash and light colors. Like Jeong, he did not attempt to record the scene as accurately as possible, but, instead of using a bird’s-eye view, lowered the viewpoint in the manner of Shim Sajeong and Yi Insang to achieve greater individuality in his work.

In contrast with the paintings of the Diamond Mountain, Travel Album of the Yeongnam Region (伊隣記行畫冊, Yeongnamginyaeng hwacheop, ca. 1772), executed four or five years later during a trip through the Yeongnam region when serving as an official post in Jinju, shows the true quality of landscapes painted from real scenery. The scene depicted in "Taejong Cliff" (大宗嶺, Taejôngdoe, light color on paper, 54.0 x 35.6 cm, Dong-A University Museum) can be captured with a 28mm wide-angle lens from the spot where Kim might have painted the view (Figure 16). The angle of view nears that of western landscape paintings, while the colors and ink lines are lighter and thinner than in his Diamond Mountain paintings.

"Mt. Inwang" ([千佛巖, Inwangsan panjumyeondo, ca. 1758], light color on paper, 24.6 x 42.6 cm, private collection) by Kang Huiueon is noteworthy as it coincides with changes in Kim Yun-gyeom’s style. Kang, a painter from the jungin class, studied under Jeong Seon, but created an original style through his association with other artists such as Kang Sehwang and Kim Hongdo. "Mt. Inwang" captures the view from halfway up the mountain, looking down from a spot closer to the mountain ridge in the direction of Changseor Gate (長堤門) than Jeong Seon’s painting of the same mountain (Figure 17). When Sukjeong Gate (啄鷹門) and Mt. Baegak trails were opened to the public, I set out to find the viewpoint used by Kang Huiueon and discovered a new vantage point.
From about 50 meters above Dohwadong River (楓花洞溪), from where the painting was sketched, a similar scene of Mt. Inwang can be captured with a 35mm wide-angle lens. As I photographed the scene along the line of the castle walls, Kang’s unique point of view was captured through the viewfinder. From a distance of 500 to 600 meters across the foot of the mountain, a scene of appropriate expanse for human sight comes into view, which I believe is the reason for the painting’s modern elegance. The layers of the mountain, a scene of appropriate expanse for human sight comes into view, which I believe is the reason for the painting’s modern elegance. The layers of the mountain ridges, which can be seen from above with a side glance, are impressively rendered with rhythmical mountain ridges, which can be seen from above with a side glance, are impressively rendered with rhythmical Mi-style horizontal dots. The white mist below and blue sky above has the freshness of a watercolor painting.

Kang Huyeon’s true-view landscapes from the 1770s to the 1780s, which use perspective, coincide with Kim Yungyeom’s shift in the 1770s from paintings that bear little similarity to reality to faithful depictions of real scenery. They are significant not only as evidence of Kang’s departure from the Jeong Seon style to forge his own original style, but also as a sign that he had clearly embraced western techniques by that time. The 1770s to 1780s period saw the throne of King Yeongjo transferred to King Jeongjo in 1776, an event, which may be considered a turning point in the artistic circles of the time.

In the 1780s when there was a noticeable trend for realistic landscapes, the philosopher Jeong Yak-yong (丁若镛, 1762-1836; sobriquet, Dasan) attempted to view the landscape through the camera obscura. Based on this experience, he wrote “Chilsilgwanhwaseol” (七星觀華說), an essay on dark-room viewing of paintings. Seeing the image projected upside-down in the camera obscura must have made Jeong and painters of the time realize that it was possible to create an exact image of an object. Yi Gyusang (李奎相, 1727-1799; sobriquet, Ilmong) made the following remarks: “Court painters in recent years have begun to adopt vanishing-point perspective (四遠尺畫法) from western painting, with Kim Hongdo applying the technique with the most success.” Thus, it can be inferred that Kim’s realistic true-view paintings are not unrelated to the influence of western techniques.

Yi Inmun’s “View of Mt. Geumgang from Danbal Ridge” (鉅僧敞望金iams. Danballyeongmang Geumgang, ca. 1800s, light color on canvas, 23 x 45 cm, private collection) has the same composition as Jeong Seon’s painting of the same scene, but the technique is borrowed from Shim Sajeong. The panoramic view of the Diamond Mountain floating above the clouds, seen in the distance from Danbal Ridge Pass, is the highlight of the painting. Rather than closely depicting the individuality of each peak, the painting focuses on capturing the faraway atmosphere in clear light colors, evoking a fresh sensation.

The essence of Yi’s true-view landscape painting is more clearly evident in “Chongseok Pavilion” (鐘石亭, Chongseokjeong, 21.2 x 33.9 cm, Kansong Art Museum). The artistry of this painting is as excellent as those of the same subject by his friend Kim Hongdo and even by Jeong Seon. The painting vividly portrays the waves rolling in from the far horizon to Chongseok Pavilion. Yi, born in the same year as Kim Hongdo and overshadowed by his friend, has not been given due recognition. However, given the aesthetic value of his true-view landscapes, there is no reason Yi should not be evaluated as highly as Kim.

Kim Hongdo is the representative painter in Korean art history. Although mostly known as a genre artist who depicted the daily life of ordinary people, Kim’s real artistry is revealed in his true-view landscape paintings, developed under the influence of Jeong Seon. Kim was a pupil of Kang Sehwang in his youth and is known to have been a close friend of Kang Huyeon. It is assumed that Kim was exposed to western painting techniques through both teacher and friend.

Kim Hongdo, who built on the artistic achievements of Jeong Seon and other literati painters such as Kang Sehwang, Shim Sajeong, and Yi Inseong, is acknowledged as a towering figure in Korean art history for his outstanding talent for depiction. While Jeong Seon laid the foundation for true-view landscape painting, it was Kim who created and completed the canon of Korean landscape paintings in the 18th century. His sophisticated use of brush and ink, coupled with perfect spatial composition, enabled him to approach close to real "true-view" landscape painting.

Kim Hongdo is known to have produced Album of Mt. Geumgang in Four Districts (金南四疆帖, Geumganggachungjeon) in 1788 when he accompanied Kim Eunghwan on a painting tour of the Yeongdong region at the command of
Kim Jeongjo. Several paintings are attributed to Kim from that trip, but I have yet to come across the one that appeals to me. Afterwards, Kim captured the view of the Diamond Mountain on folding screens or albums. His major true-view landscape paintings include Screen of Eight Landscapes of Mt. Geumgang (九龍八景圖), Eulmyo Yeon docheop (御明年畫帖, 1790s), and “Goksum Peak” (玉笥峯) from Album of the Eulmyo Year (御明年畫帖, 1795), and “Oksun Peak” (玉松峯) from Album of the Byeongjin Year (丙辰年畫帖, Byeonggimninyeon docheop, 1796).

Objects in paintings such as “Manpok Valley” (萬坡谷, Manpokdong, 1790s, light color on paper, 133.8 x 54.4 cm, private collection) and “Myeonggyeong Cliff” (明慶嶺) or “Guryong Lake” (九龍湖, Guryongyo, ink and wash on paper, 133.8 x 54.4 cm, private collection) from Screen of Eight Landscapes of Mt. Geumgang are exaggerated because the scenes are captured in long, narrow panels. The rocks rendered with the layered-wash technique exhibit traces of the Jeong Seon style, while the brushwork in the shrubs and valleys and the viewpoint of the scenes are derived from Shim Sajeong. But while Shim Sajeong's painting of Myeonggyeong Cliff does not exactly match the real cliff, which bears little similarity to the actual rock. This demonstrates the disparities between the two painters. Unlike Jeong, who normally used vertical and horizontal compositions, Kim preferred diagonal compositions.

As seen from the boat, or following the painter’s eyes, the view depicted in “Oksun Peak” has a horizontal field of view of about 60° and can be captured entirely with a 35mm wide-angle lens (Figure 18). Indeed, the 60° field of view is the closest to human vision. As this shows, Kim was able to capture an approximation of what landscape paintings today or photographs can record, demonstrating the modernity of his work. He achieved this feat with keen eyesight and great skill.

The 60° field of view can be found in “Guryong Lake” (九龍湖, Guryongyo, light color on paper, 30.6 x 43.7 cm, private collection) from Screen of Eight Landscapes of Mt. Geumgang or in his later work “Guryong Falls” (九龍潭, Guryonggok, light color on paper, 29 x 42 cm, Joseon Art Museum, Pyeongyang). The horizontal angle from the hill nearby to Guryong Falls is around 60°.

Kim’s two paintings of Guryong Falls make a good comparison with Jeong Seon’s “Guryong Falls” (九龍潭, Guryonggok, around 1730-1740, light color on paper, 29.5 x 23.5 cm, Monastery of St. Ottilien, Germany). Jeong’s painting omits Gujeong Peak (九井巖), and has a solid vertical-horizontal composition. By contrast, Kim’s paintings of the same scene contain the peak rising over the ridge as well as the ridge itself, giving a detailed description of the real view, achieved with the help of on-site sketching. While Jeong emphasized the dropping waterfall, Kim seemed to focus on the expression of the rock strata that overpower the scene on either side of the falling water.

“Sparse Forest under the Full Moon” (遼林月夜圖, Sorimmyeongwoldo, light color on paper, 26.7 x 31.6 cm, Treasure No. 792, Leeum-Samsung Museum of Art) from Album of the Byeongjin Year (丙辰年畫帖, Byeonggimninyeowoldo) adds a new dimension to Kim Hongdo’s true-view landscape paintings. It illustrates an early spring scene of trees by the stream that have started to turn green with the full moon hanging over them. This landscape manifests the sense...
of reality with which Kim depicted the everyday life around him as a genre painter (Figure 19).

Jeong Seon’s works and other true-view landscape paintings discussed up to now are mostly of scenic spots or historic places because artists generally favored sublime or beautiful scenery close to the Neo-Confucian ideal sought after by the literati of the Joseon period. Unlike other landscape painters, however, Kim depicted everyday scenes often encountered in the neighborhood. Therefore, I would like to see the painting as a step forward from true-view landscape painting developed under the Neo-Confucian ideology toward modern landscape painting.

In “Oksun Peak” from the same album, the boat carrying Kim Hongdo is positioned beside the river, requiring a third viewpoint. “Sparse Forest under the Full Moon” is different not only in its everyday subject matter but also for its different viewpoint. While the artist is inside the painting in other true-view landscapes of the latter half of the Joseon period, the scene in “ Sparse Forest under the Full Moon” is captured from outside the painting (Figure 19). Hence, it departs from the notion of a landscape painting conceived by the people of the time who idealized scenery in the framework of Neo-Confucian theory. In other words, Kim saw the landscape as it was, not the ideal. In this respect, it is similar to western landscape paintings that capture only the scenery viewed by the painter, and represents a shift in technique from traditional landscapes to modern western landscape painting.

Kim Hongdo’s artistic achievements had a great influence not only on true-view landscapes but all genres, from portraits to flower-and-bird paintings. This helped artists of the 19th century to keep producing paintings with pictorial quality, but no painter could either create a new trend or surpass Kim in talent and creativity. As true-view landscapes declined in popularity, the traces of the Kim Hongdo style were passed on to Eom Chiu, Yi Yusin, Jo Jeonggyu, and Kim Hajong. In the 19th century, along with the work of these painters, folding screens depicting the Diamond Mountain and paintings of eight famous scenic spots in eastern Korea (Gwandong palgyeong) in the folk painting, or minhuo, style were popular among common people as decorative works. Although the unfettered, unprecedented beauty of a folk painting landscape displays another type of pictorial value, it does not come under the category of true-view landscapes as the form of the objects is significantly altered, and hence warrants no further discussion.

Eom Chiu bears so much resemblance to Kim Hongdo in terms of composition, and use of ink and brush that he has often been called Kim’s alter ego. Dongyucheop (東淵帖), a book of poems by Yi Pungik (李鼎翼, 1804-1887), also contains paintings that resemble Kim’s works. “Chongseok Pavilion” and “Hwanseon Pavilion” (見韓亭, Hwanseonjeong, color on paper, 20 x 26.6 cm, Sungkyunkwan University Museum) from the book were created by anonymous painters who must have traced over original paintings by Kim.
As seen from the above, it was the literati painters who took the lead in painting from the actual scenery using a lower viewpoint, as demonstrated by the travel albums of Shim Sajeong, Kang Sehwang, and Jeong Suyeong. Shim Sajeong studied under Jeong Seon and later perfected his artistic skills by reinterpreting landscapes in the style of the Southern School. Kang Sehwang and Jeong Suyeong lag behind in realistic portrayal of the scenery but their works are creative with a note of eccentricity.

Paintings from actual scenery with a natural angle of view were further developed by Kim Yun-gyeom and Kang Huieon, both influenced by Jeong Seon. Their works, though immature, signal the start of true-view landscape paintings that truly resemble the real view: Kang’s “Mt. Inwang,” a complete view of the mountain painted from Dohwa-dong, and “View of Bugaksan Covered in Morning Mist” (Bugaksan Bogam, Bugaksanmudol), which uses a bird’s eye view to give a sense of perspective, and Kim’s “Taejongdae,” an on-site record of which uses a bird’s eye view to give a sense of human vision, and hence Kim’s paintings can be argued to be “realistic” true views.

In depicting actual scenes, Kim Hongdo adopted a viewpoint similar to that of European landscape paintings and photographs developed after the 17th century, creating vivid, realistic landscapes. Furthermore, he painted not only scenic spots of great beauty but also scenes from everyday life. “Sparse Forest under the Full Moon” from Album of the Byeongjin Year suggested new directions for modern Joseon painting, and showed a shift in subject from the scenic spots idealized in true-view landscape painting under Neo-Confucian ideology to the everyday scenes of common people.

The difference in the viewpoint and angle of view in the paintings of Jeong Seon and Kim Hongdo, the two true-view masters, was linked to the changing cultural landscape in the 18th century in which Post-Neo-Confucianism emerged as a new idea. As with Silhak, Kim’s true-view landscapes were created through the conflict or correlation between Post-Neo-Confucianism and Anti-Neo-Confucianism. The paintings of Jeong, who socialized with scholars of the Nongam (農庵) and Samyeon (山巌) schools of thought, reflect the essence of Post-Neo-Confucianism, which was a revised version of the existing theory. In contrast, the ideology in Kim Hongdo’s paintings is closer to Anti-Neo-Confucianism influenced by new ideas introduced at the time by Silhak scholars such as Dasan (But) and Yeonam (布朗) (Figure 20). After Kim Hongdo, both true-view landscape painting and genre painting declined in popularity in the 19th century, perhaps under the influence of Post-Neo-Confucianism, which leaned toward more conservative views as encouraged by the ruling government of the time.

As seen from the above, it was the literati painters who took the lead in painting from the actual scenery using a lower viewpoint, as demonstrated by the travel albums of Shim Sajeong, Kang Sehwang, and Jeong Suyeong. Shim Sajeong studied under Jeong Seon and later perfected his artistic skills by reinterpreting landscapes in the style of the Southern School. Kang Sehwang and Jeong Suyeong lag behind in realistic portrayal of the scenery but their works are creative with a note of eccentricity.

Paintings from actual scenery with a natural angle of view were further developed by Kim Yun-gyeom and Kang Huieon, both influenced by Jeong Seon. Their works, though immature, signal the start of true-view landscape paintings that truly resemble the real view: Kang’s “Mt. Inwang,” a complete view of the mountain painted from Dohwa-dong, and “View of Bugaksan Covered in Morning Mist” (Bugaksan Bogam, Bugaksanmudol), which uses a bird’s eye view to give a sense of perspective, and Kim’s “Taejongdae,” an on-site record of which uses a bird’s eye view to give a sense of human vision, and hence Kim’s paintings can be argued to be “realistic” true views.

In depicting actual scenes, Kim Hongdo adopted a viewpoint similar to that of European landscape paintings and photographs developed after the 17th century, creating vivid, realistic landscapes. Furthermore, he painted not only scenic spots of great beauty but also scenes from everyday life. “Sparse Forest under the Full Moon” from Album of the Byeongjin Year suggested new directions for modern Joseon painting, and showed a shift in subject from the scenic spots idealized in true-view landscape painting under Neo-Confucian ideology to the everyday scenes of common people.

The difference in the viewpoint and angle of view in the paintings of Jeong Seon and Kim Hongdo, the two true-view masters, was linked to the changing cultural landscape in the 18th century in which Post-Neo-Confucianism emerged as a new idea. As with Silhak, Kim’s true-view landscapes were created through the conflict or correlation between Post-Neo-Confucianism and Anti-Neo-Confucianism. The paintings of Jeong, who socialized with scholars of the Nongam (農庵) and Samyeon (山巌) schools of thought, reflect the essence of Post-Neo-Confucianism, which was a revised version of the existing theory. In contrast, the ideology in Kim Hongdo’s paintings is closer to Anti-Neo-Confucianism influenced by new ideas introduced at the time by Silhak scholars such as Dasan (But) and Yeonam (布朗) (Figure 20). After Kim Hongdo, both true-view landscape painting and genre painting declined in popularity in the 19th century, perhaps under the influence of Post-Neo-Confucianism, which leaned toward more conservative views as encouraged by the ruling government of the time.
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I previously translated the phrase "It's not that I am proud, but I cannot express my thoughts on paper" in the article "True-View Painting of the Literati Painters in the late Joseon period," Gukbo, vol. 10. But reading it again, I decided to interpret "心胸" as "I painted it from the heart." "心胸.
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